UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,147	11/03/2003	Robert Sesek	200301153-1	3826	
	79 7590 09/29/2008 EWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			LEMMA, SAMSON B		
	UAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION JNS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2132		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/29/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/700,147	SESEK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Samson B. Lemma	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired to the second	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Rece</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,13,20-22 and 32-36 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,13,20-22 and 32-36 is/are reg 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ewn from consideration. jected. or election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be able to be a considered to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/700,147 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

1. The request filed on September 04, 2008 for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on patent application 10/700,147 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. Claims 2, 5, 7-12, 14-19 and 23-31 are canceled. New claims 32-36 are added. Thus claims 1, 3-4, 6, 13, 20-22 and 32-36 are pending of which claims 1, 20, 32 and 36 are independent claims.

Priority

2. This application does not claim priority. Therefore, the effective filling data for the subject matter defined in the pending claims of this application is **11/03/2003**.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's remarks/arguments filed on September 04, 2008 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United

States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 5. Claims 1, 3, 13, 20, 32, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ogilvie et al (hereinafter referred as Og) (U.S. Patent No. 6,757,713 B1) (filed on: July 18, 2000)
- 6. As per independent claims 1, 20, 32 and 36 Og discloses a method for providing recipient-end security for transmitted data[See column 15, lines 9-16], the method comprising:
 - Identifying data to be transmitted to a data receiving device of a recipient [column 15, lines 10-11, "see the file 206" which is going to emailed/transmitted to the recipient 202];
 - configuring the identified data on a data transmitting device so as to add an executable to the data that, when executed on the data receiving device verifies that one or both of the recipient and the data receiving device are authorized to access the transmitted data; [See column 15, lines 9-16] (On column 15, lines 9-16, the following has been disclosed. "In one embodiment, a message to be emailed is embedded in an executable (interpretable, etc.) file and the file 206 is emailed. When the recipient 202 tries to open the message 206 the executable portion runs an authentication operation 228. If the recipient 202 is authorized and the message file 206 has not already been opened, then an executable portion 214 of the file 206 and/or a conventional part of the recipient station 226 displays 232 the message"]

Application/Control Number: 10/700,147

Art Unit: 2132

• transmitting the identified data and the executable from the data transmitting device to the data receiving device; [Column 15, lines 9-11]

Page 4

- the executable executing on the data receiving device and determining: if the transmitted data may be accessed by the recipient; [column 15, lines 11-16] (on column 15, lines 11-16, the following has been disclosed. "When the recipient 202 tries to open the message 206 the executable portion runs an authentication operation 228. If the recipient 202 is authorized and the message file 206 has not already been opened, then an executable portion 214 of the file 206 and/or a conventional part of the recipient station 226 displays 232 the message") and
- the executable denying access to the transmitted data it it is determined that the transmitted data may not be accessed. [See column 15, lines 11-16] (on column 15, lines 11-16, the following has been disclosed. "When the recipient 202 tries to open the message 206 the executable portion runs an authentication operation 228. If the recipient 202 is authorized and the message file 206 has not already been opened, then an executable portion 214 of the file 206 and/or a conventional part of the recipient station 226 displays 232 the message" Meaning if the recipient 202 is not authorized the authentication would fail and would not be able to open the message meets the limitation of "denying access to the transmitted data.")

- 7. As per dependent claim 3 Og discloses a method as applied to claims above. Furthermore Og discloses the method wherein determining if the transmitted data may be accessed comprises the executable verifying recipient-specific security information be provide by a recipient. [See column 15, lines 9-16 and see particularly the authentication operation 228]
- 8. As per dependent claim 13 Og discloses a method as applied to claims above. Furthermore Og discloses the method further comprising, if it is determined that the transmitted data may be accessed, printing out the transmitted data or opening an email attachment that comprises the transmitted data. |See column 15, lines 9-16| (On column 15, lines 9-16, the following has been disclosed. "In one embodiment, a message to be emailed is embedded in an executable (interpretable, etc.) file and the file 206 is emailed. When the recipient 202 tries to open the message 206 the executable portion runs an authentication operation 228. If the recipient 202 is authorized and the message file 206 has not already been opened, then an executable portion 214 of the file 206 and/or a conventional part of the recipient station 226 displays 232 the message"|
- 9. As per dependent claim 35 Og discloses a method as applied to claims above. Furthermore Og discloses the method wherein the executable program is configured to deny to the recipient access to the identified data if authorization to access the identified data is not verified./See column 15, lines 9-16/ (On column 15, lines 9-16, the

following has been disclosed. "In one embodiment, a message to be emailed is embedded in an executable (interpretable, etc.) file and the file 206 is emailed. When the recipient 202 tries to open the message 206 the executable portion runs an authentication operation 228. If the recipient 202 is authorized and the message file 206 has not already been opened, then an executable portion 214 of the file 206 and/or a conventional part of the recipient station 226 displays 232 the message". Meaning if the recipient 202 is not authorized the authentication would fail and would not be able to open the message meets the limitation of "denying access to the transmitted data.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. **Dependent claims 4, 6, 21-22 and 33-34,** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) unpatentable over **Ogilvie et al** (hereinafter referred as **Og**) (U.S. Patent No. 6,757,713 B1) (filed on: July 18, 2000) in view of Chang et al (hereinafter referred as **Chang**) (U.S. Publication No. 2002,0083121 A1) (Published on Jun 27, 2002)

Application/Control Number: 10/700,147 Page 7

Art Unit: 2132

12. As per dependent claims 4, 6, 21-22 and 33-34 Og discloses a method for providing recipient-end security for transmitted data/

See column 15, lines 9-16, the method comprising:

- Identifying data to be transmitted to a data receiving device of a recipient [column 15, lines 10-11, "see the file 206" which is going to emailed/transmitted to the recipient 202];
- configuring the identified data on a data transmitting device so as to add an executable to the data that, when executed on the data receiving device verifies that one or both of the recipient and the data receiving device are authorized to access the transmitted data; [See column 15, lines 9-16] (On column 15, lines 9-16, the following has been disclosed. "In one embodiment, a message to be emailed is embedded in an executable (interpretable, etc.) file and the file 206 is emailed. When the recipient 202 tries to open the message 206 the executable portion runs an authentication operation 228. If the recipient 202 is authorized and the message file 206 has not already been opened, then an executable portion 214 of the file 206 and/or a conventional part of the recipient station 226 displays 232 the message"]
- transmitting the identified data and the executable from the data transmitting device to the data receiving device; [Column 15, lines 9-11]
- the executable executing on the data receiving device and determining: if the transmitted data may be accessed by the recipient; [column 15, lines 11-16] (on column 15, lines 11-16, the following has been disclosed. "When the recipient 202 tries to open the

message 206 the <u>executable</u> portion runs an authentication operation 228. If the recipient 202 is authorized and the message file 206 has not already been opened, then an <u>executable</u> portion 214 of the file 206 and/or a conventional part of the recipient station 226 displays 232 the message") and

• the executable denying access to the transmitted data it it is determined that the transmitted data may not be accessed. [See column 15, lines 11-16] (on column 15, lines 11-16, the following has been disclosed. "When the recipient 202 tries to open the message 206 the executable portion runs an authentication operation 228. If the recipient 202 is authorized and the message file 206 has not already been opened, then an executable portion 214 of the file 206 and/or a conventional part of the recipient station 226 displays 232 the message" Meaning if the recipient 202 is not authorized the authentication would fail and would not be able to open the message meets the limitation of "denying access to the transmitted data.")

Og does not explicitly disclose the limitation such as verifying recipient specific security information comprises verifying recipient biometric information which is recited on dependent claims 4, 21 and 33

And

Og does not explicitly disclose the limitation such as the executable verifying one or both of an of the internet protocol (IP) address and a media access control (MAC) address of the data

receiving device which is recited on dependent claims 6, 22 and 34.

However, in the same field of endeavor Chang on paragraph 0173 discloses the following which meets the limitation recited above.

"Authentication step 604 is optional, but may be necessary if, for example, the use of an output device 140 is restricted to a group of users. In this case, the user may have to provide authentication information to identify him/herself as part of the authorized group to use the service. Examples of authentication methods may include a user's name, password, personal identification number (PIN), ID number, signatures, security keys (physical or digital), biometric, fingerprint, voice, etc. ID number or IP address of the information apparatus 100 may also be used as authentication information. Such authentication information may be provided by the user manually or detected automatically by the output controller 120 or output device 140."

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the feature such as requiring recipient-end security such that machine-specific security data or verify recipient-end security information recipient biometric/IP address information prior to enabling access to the

data as per teachings of **Chang** into the method as taught **by Og in order to enhance the security of the** authentication system
by adding additional verification. [See Chang, paragraph 0173]

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Reference u on page 4, first paragraph discloses the following. As server-based software, Adobe PDF Merchant was designed for easy integration into existing eCommerce and transaction servers. Using PDF Merchant, publishers can easily encrypt volumes of Adobe PDF documents and link the files directly to a seller's Web site. Stored in the file at the time of encryption, the URL points to the site of a publisher, author, retailer, or other Web address. If consumers try to open the file with- out a valid voucher, they are given the option to follow the URL to learn how to purchase the right m view the document. Publishers also use PDF Merchant to generate XML-encoded keys that specify the user's file permissions (ability to print, annotate, edit, or select text and graphics) and the environment in which the document can be used.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samson B Lemma whose telephone number is 571-272-3806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 am---4: 30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BARRON JR GILBERTO can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-873-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

Application/Control Number: 10/700,147

Art Unit: 2132

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 11

09/12/08

/Samson B Lemma/ Examiner, Art Unit 2132

/Gilberto Barron Jr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2132